

**STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

DE 07-064

Investigation into Energy Efficiency Rate Mechanisms

Order on Scope and Schedule

ORDER NO. 24,774

July 12, 2007

I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On May 14, 2007, the Commission issued an order of notice opening Docket No. DE 07-064 to investigate the merits of instituting, for electric utilities, appropriate rate mechanisms that would have the effect of removing obstacles to and encouraging investment in energy efficiency. The order of notice scheduled a prehearing conference for June 18, 2007, which was held as scheduled.

On May 23, 2007, the Office of Consumer Advocate (OCA) entered its appearance on behalf of residential ratepayers pursuant to RSA 363:28. The following parties filed motions to intervene: KeySpan Energy Delivery New England, Campaign for Ratepayers' Rights, the Office of Energy and Planning (OEP), Wal-Mart Stores, East L.P., The Way Home; Conservation Law Foundation, Southern New Hampshire University, Jordan Institute, Department of Environmental Services, Business and Industry Association, Public Service Company of New Hampshire, Granite State Electric Company, Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. (UES), Northern Utilities, Inc., Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc., and Constellation NewEnergy,

On June 21, 2007, Staff filed a letter with the Commission that (1) recommended the scope of the proceeding be expanded to include natural gas companies, (2) proposed that any party with comments on the scope of the proceeding file its recommendation with the Commission by June 29, 2007, and (3) reported that the parties and Staff agreed that a “baseline presentation by utilities” for all parties be held on July 30, 2007 at 9:00 a.m.

On June 29, 2007, UES filed a motion seeking clarification of the scope of the investigation. The Commission received comments on the issue from the Conservation law Foundation, the Campaign for Ratepayers Rights, Northern Utilities, OCA, and OEP.

II. POSITIONS OF THE PARTIES REGARDING SCOPE OF THE PROCEEDING

A. Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.

In its motion, UES recommended that the Commission give a broad interpretation to the scope of the docket. UES stated that the term “energy efficiency” should include “displacement” technologies and practices, such as distributed generation, demand response and energy storage. Using this more expansive definition, UES asserted that the investigation in this docket should be expanded to examine the set of incentives and disincentives in the current regulatory framework that are in direct conflict with distribution utilities promoting energy efficiency technologies and practices.

According to the company, the incentives to avoid decreases in sales may deter actions and investments that could be effective in reducing electricity demand under high load conditions and moderate some of the impact of high and volatile electricity prices. UES pointed out that reductions in electricity demand benefit all end-users, and contended that the expansion of the docket to include all energy efficiency and “displacement” technologies and practices will result

in the development of an appropriate rate mechanism that will help attain larger policy objectives.

UES attested that it circulated a draft of its Motion to the parties and Staff and that it received a number of favorable comments and suggestions, some of which were incorporated into the Motion. However, UES stated that it was unable to represent that there was a consensus in support of its motion.

B. Campaign for Ratepayers Rights

CRR commented that it would be appropriate to examine the effects of a decoupled system, or any alternative rate mechanism, on utility consumers. Therefore, CRR recommended that the scope of the proceeding be broad enough to allow parties to study, testify or comment on effects and concerns of revenue decoupling but not directly focused on energy efficiency efforts.

C. Northern Utilities, Inc.

Northern stated that the order of notice appropriately addressed the scope of the proceeding. Northern further provided information regarding the presentation that it would make at the July 30, 2007 meeting proposed in the procedural schedule. Among the topics Northern proposed to discuss are its energy efficiency programs, market-driven conservation, and alternative approaches to address revenue impact.

D. Conservation Law Foundation

According to CLF, this docket provides an important opportunity to reshape the current regulatory framework by eliminating existing structural utility disincentives to promote energy efficiency and to encourage investment in energy efficiency and other strategies to reduce energy consumption, including conservation. CLF stated that it supports energy conservation and

energy efficiency because the resulting reduced energy use also reduces adverse environmental impacts of energy production, particularly those related to air quality and greenhouse gas emissions that contribute to global warming. Therefore, CLF requested the Commission to consider as part of this investigation rate-making mechanisms that eliminate disincentives for implementing, and affirmatively promote, all strategies designed to reduce electricity consumption, without limitation to the energy efficiency programs that already exist. CLF also indicated its support for consideration of mechanisms that eliminate disincentives for, and promote, distributed generation that displaces fossil-fuel energy generation with clean, renewable technologies.

E. Office of Consumer Advocate and Office of Energy and Planning

In joint comments, the OCA and OEP agreed that the exploration of whether it is necessary to address disincentives or remove obstacles for utilities to promote energy efficiency could result in mechanisms that address similar issues related to promoting efficient, clean, renewable distributed generation for use by customers. However, they expressed concern that broadening the scope of the docket beyond a focus on energy efficiency could result in an unwieldy process that would not produce timely results. Therefore, the OCA and OEP recommend that the docket be limited in scope as stated in the order of notice. OCA and OEP suggested that the parties consider the potential application of mechanisms to promote energy efficiency on distributed generation, which the Commission could consider in a future phase of this docket.

The OCA and OEP expressed agreement with CRR that the parties should consider alternative mechanisms to decoupling, and with the CLF that if the parties consider ways to

remove barriers to distributed generation, the focus should be on efficient, clean and renewable distributed generation consistent with the state's environmental goals. The OCA and OEP stated that the Department of Environmental Services concurs with their comments.

III. COMMISSION ANALYSIS

We note that there were no objections to any of the motions for intervention. Recognizing, pursuant to RSA 541-A:32, that the various parties have demonstrated "rights, duties, privileges, immunities, or other substantial interests may be affected by the proceeding," we grant all pending motions to intervene. In addition, we agree with Staff and the parties' recommendation to include the natural gas companies in this docket.

We next consider the scope of the docket. As stated in the order of notice, we intend to investigate ratemaking alternatives, such as decoupling, which would remove obstacles to and encourage energy efficiency. The effects of the various regulatory mechanisms on utility actions and incentives are central to our inquiry. Technologies or practices other than energy efficiency and demand response are also implicated by this investigation but the focus of this investigation is more on ratemaking mechanisms and less on particular technologies. Therefore, we agree with UES that it is appropriate to include, in a general way, distributed generation and other "displacement" technologies and practices in our review of existing and alternative rate-setting mechanisms. We believe this approach is consistent with the comments of the CLF, OCA and OEP.

Staff's letter proposes a "baseline presentation by utilities" on July 30, 2007. We will schedule the July 30 gathering and direct Staff and the parties to propose a more complete procedural schedule after the conclusion of that meeting. Participants are advised that the

Commissioners may attend this and other future informal briefings and discussions conducted under this investigation.

Based upon the foregoing, it is hereby


ORDERED, that Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.'s Motion for Clarification is GRANTED subject to the parameters discussed above; and it is


FURTHER ORDERED, that the natural gas utilities shall be included in the investigation in this docket; and it is


FURTHER ORDERED, that all pending motions to intervene in this docket are hereby GRANTED; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, that Staff and the parties conduct the baseline presentation on July 30, 2007 at 9:00 a.m.

By order of the Public Utilities Commission of New Hampshire this twelfth day of July, 2007.


Thomas B. Getz
Chairman


Graham J. Morrison
Commissioner


Clifton C. Below
Commissioner

Attested by:


Debra A. Howland
Executive Director & Secretary

PENTTI J AALTO
PJA ENERGY SYSTEMS DESIGN
720 BATCHELDER RD
PEMBROKE NH 03275

MARK COLLIN
UNITIL SVC CORP
6 LIBERTY LANE WEST
HAMPTON NH 03842-1720

PATRICIA FRENCH
NISOURCE CORPORATE SERVICE COM
300 FRIBERG PARKWAY
WESTBOROUGH MA 01581

DANIEL W ALLEGRETTI
CONSTELLATION ENERGY COMMODITI
111 MARKET PLACE STE 500
BALTIMORE MD 21202

PATRICIA CROWE
KEYSPAN ENERGY DELIVERY NE
52 2ND AVE
WALTHAM MA 02451-1127

GILBERT E GELINEAU JR
PUBLIC SVC CO OF NH
780 N COMMERCIAL ST
PO BOX 330
MANCHESTER NH 03105-0330

PATRICK J ARNOLD
CAMPAIGN FOR RATEPAYERS RIGHTS
PO BOX 563
CONCORD NH 03302

MARK W DEAN
DEVINE MILLIMET & BRANCH
49 N MAIN ST
PO BOX 3610
CONCORD NH 03302

MICHAEL S GIAIMO
BUSINESS & INDUSTRY ASSOC
122 N MAIN ST
CONCORD NH 03301

ROBERT BACKUS
BACKUS MEYER SOLOMON & BRANC
PO BOX 516
MANCHESTER NH 03105-0516

LISA M DECKER
CONSTELLATION ENERGY GROUP IN
111 MARKET PLACE STE 500
BALTIMORE MD 21202

STEPHEN R HALL
PSNH
780 N COMMERCIAL ST
PO BOX 330
MANCHESTER NH 03105-0330

ANGELA BEEHLER
SAM M WALTON DEVELOPMENT COM
2001 SE 10TH ST
BENTONVILLE AR 72716-0550

KARLA J DOUKAS
RUBIN AND RUDMAN LLP
50 ROWES WHARF
BOSTON MA 02110

MEREDITH A HATFIELD
OFFICE OF CONSUMER ADVOCATE
21 SOUTH FRUIT ST STE 18
CONCORD NH 03301

THOMAS E BESSETTE
CONSTELLATION NEW ENERGY
800 BOYLSTON ST 28TH FLR
BOSTON MA 02199

GERALD M EATON
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW H
780 N COMMERCIAL ST
PO BOX 330
MANCHESTER NH 03105-0330

SANDI HENNEQUIN
CONSTELLATION ENERGY COMMODITI
117 CASS ST
PORTSMOUTH NH 03801

ALEXANDRA E BLACKMORE
GRANITE STATE ELECTRIC COMPANY
25 RESEARCH DRIVE
WESTBOROUGH MA 05182

GARY EPLER
UNITIL ENERGY SYSTEMS INC
6 LIBERTY LANE WEST
HAMPTON NH 03842-1720

DICK HENRY
THE JORDAN INSTITUTE

STEVEN V CAMERINO
MCLANE GRAF RAULERSON & MIDD
11 SOUTH MAIN ST STE 500
CONCORD NH 03301

DAN FELTES
NEW HAMPSHIRE LEGAL ASSISTANCE
154 HIGH ST
PORTSMOUTH NH 03802

MELISSA HOFFER
CONSERVATION LAW FOUNDATION
27 N MAIN ST
CONCORD NH 03302

Docket #: 07-064 Printed: July 13, 2007

07/12/07 Order No. 24,774 issued and forwarded
to all parties. Copies given to PUC
Staff.

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: PURSUANT TO N.H. ADMIN RULE PUC 203.02(a),

WITH THE EXCEPTION OF DISCOVERY, FILE 7 COPIES (INCLUDING COVER LETTER) TO:

DEBRA A HOWLAND
EXEC DIRECTOR & SECRETARY
NHPUC
21 SOUTH FRUIT STREET, SUITE 10
CONCORD NH 03301-2429

BRENDA C INMAN
NEW HAMPSHIRE ELECTRIC COOPER
579 TENNEY MTN HWY
PLYMOUTH NH 03264-3154

K NOLIN
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW H
PO BOX 330
MANCHESTER NH 03105

PETER ZSCHOKKE
NATIONAL GRID USA
55 BEARFOOT RD
NORTHBORO MA

THOMAS F IRWIN
CONSERVATION LAW FOUNDATION
27 NORTH MAIN ST
CONCORD NH 03301-4930

THOMAS O'NEILL
KEYSPAN ENERGY DELIVERY NEW E
52 2ND AVE
WALTHAM MA 02451-1127

STEPHEN E KAMINSKI
NEW HAMPSHIRE ELECTRIC COOPER
579 TENNEY MTN HWY
PLYMOUTH NH 03264-3147

DONALD PFUNDSTEIN
GALLAGHER CALLAHAN & GARTREL
214 N MAIN ST
P O BOX 1415
CONCORD NH 03302

HEATHER KAUFMAN
NEW HAMPSHIRE ELECTRIC COOPER
579 TENNEY MT HIGHWAY
PLYMOUTH NH 03264

JACK K RUDERMAN
OFFICE OF STATE PLANNING AND EN
57 REGIONAL DR STE 3
CONCORD NH 03301-8519

ANN LEARY
KEYSPAN ENERGY DELIVERY NEW E
52 2ND AVE
WALTHAM MA 02451-1127

ROBERT R SCOTT
AIR RESOURCES DIVISION
6 HAZEN DR
PO BOX 95
CONCORD NH 03302-0095

ALAN LINDER
NH LEGAL ASSISTANCE
48 SOUTH MAIN ST
CONCORD NH 03301

ROBERT D SHAPIRO
RUBIN AND RUDMAN LLP
50 ROWES WHARF
BOSTON MA 02110-3319

MARLA B MATTHEWS
GALLAGHER CALLAHAN & GARTREL
214 N MAIN ST
CONCORD NH 03301

WILLIAM T SHERRY
GRANITE ST ELEC CO
9 LOWELL RD
SALEM NH 03079

JOANNE MORIN
NH DES
6 HAZEN DR PO BOX 95
CONCORD NH 03302-0095

KEN E TRAUM
OFFICE OF CONSUMER ADVOCATE
21 SOUTH FRUIT ST STE 18
CONCORD NH 03301-2429

CAROLE BROWN
1618 DOVER RD
EPSOM NH 03234-4417

JACALYN CILLEY
2 OAK HILL RD
BARRINGTON NH 03825

MARTHA FULLER CLARK
152 MIDDLE ST
PORTSMOUTH NH 03801-4306

JAMES GARRITY
14 EAST RD
ATKINSON NH 03811-2503

MARGARET HASSAN
48 COURT ST
EXETER NH 03833-2728

NAIDA KAEN
22 TOON LANE
LEE NH 03824-6507

JAMES MONAHAN
114 NORTH MAIN ST STE 401
CONCORD NH 03301

BOB ODELL
PO BOX 23
LEMPSTER NH 03605-0023

KATHLEEN SGAMBATI
25 PINE ST
TILTON NH 03276

Docket #: 07-064 Printed: July 13, 2007

INTERESTED PARTIES

RECEIVE ORDERS, NOTICES OF HEARINGS ONLY